	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/808,635	TEO, SIEW SIONG	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Terry L. Englund	2816	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Nea</u>	aring allowance	
(1) Exmr Terry L. Englund.	(3)		
(2) Applicant's Rep Brett A Hertzberg (Reg No 42,666).	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>5 April 2007</u>	Time: <u>2:30 PM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		
Part I.	·		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 U.S.C. 112 related			
Claims discussed: 1, 14, 16, 19 and 20	•		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant's representative agreed to submit Supplemental Amendment to address concerns identified by the examiner, and ensure a signed copy of an amendment would be entered. See the Response to Amendment/Drawings for details.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
		,	
Terry L. Englund / Kenneth Well			
(Examiner/SPE Senature) / (Applicant/)	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Examiner Initiated Interview Summary

Paper No. 20070405

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/808,635	TEO, SIEW SIONG
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Terry L. Englund	2816
All Participants:	Status of Application: Nov	v allowed
(1) Exmr Terry L. Englund.	(3)	
(2) Alice Baum (representing Brett A. Hertzberg).	. (4)	·
Date of Interview: <u>11 April 2007</u>	Time: <u>2:50 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 14 and 15	,	
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the i	n summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed two inadvertent type oversights with A. Baum (representing the applicant's representative Brett A. Hertzberg, Reg. No. 42,660). Final approval by Hertzberg was relayed to the examiner by A. Baum on a telephone message left at 6:47 PM. See the Examiner's Amendment for details of the oversights.